## Louisiana Community and Technical College System Institution: South Louisiana Community College

July 2013

GRAD Act Template for Establishing Initial Performance Agreement Baseline, Benchmarks, and 6-Year Targets

Element Reference	Measure	Baseline Year/Term	Baseline	Year 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 2	Year 3	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
		Data to include	data	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Benchmark	Target
L. Student Success			_							_		
a. <i>i.</i> Targeted	1st to 2nd Year Retention Rate	Fall 08 to Fall 09		pı	ior to merge	er of SLCC & ATC	;	48.7%	47.1%	49.1%	49.5%	50.0%
	Actual Baseline Data	# in Fall 08 Cohort							<i>577</i>			
		# retained to Fall 09							272			
iv. Targeted	Same Institution Graduation Rate	2008 Grad Rate Survey	1.6%	2.2%	7.4%	2.7%	6.3%	3.1%	5.0%	3.6%	4.1%	4.6%
	Actual Baseline Data	Fall revised cohort (total)	247		203		269		<i>306</i>			
		completers <=150% of time	4		15		17		16			
vi. Targeted	Award Productivity	2008-09 AY						0.34	0.371	0.36	0.38	0.40
	Actual Baseline Data	2008-09 undergrad FTE							5607.9			
		awards (duplicated)							2080			
o. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Percent Change in program completers											
	Certificate - 1 yr							38.3%	38.3%	39.4%	40.3%	41.4%
		2008-09 AY	640					885	885	892	898	905
	Diploma			prior to merger of SLCC & ATC			27.2%	38.7%	31.3%	35.2%	39.3%	
		2008-09 AY	511					650	709	671	691	712
	Associate							36.9%	68.5%	47.9%	58.4%	68.9%
		2008-09 AY	219					300	<b>369</b>	324	347	370
2. Articulation & Trans	sfer											
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Number of students enrolled in a transfer degree program	2008-09 AY						250	254	270	285	300
3. Workforce & Econo	mic Development											
o. <i>ii.</i> Targeted	Number of students enrolled in distance education courses											
	# enrolled in courses w/ 50% -99% distance ed	2008-09 AY						86	<i>1510</i>	90	95	100
	# enrolled in courses w/ 100% distance ed	2008-09 AY						339	<i>962</i>	360	380	400
1. Institutional Efficien	ncy & Accountability											
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Percent of eligible programs that are discipline accredited	January 1, 2013						34.5%	<i>66.7%</i>	40.0%	45.5%	50.9%
	Actual Baseline Data	# programs							<i>30</i>			
		# discipline accredited							20			
A margin of error will be	allowed for annual benchmarks and 6-year targets in the Annual Review											